Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/659,133	HAMMARLUND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Y Chen	2171
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Susan Y Chen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Stephen T. Neal.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 August 2004	Time: <u>5:00PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Double Patenting Rejection.		,
Claims discussed: 1-13, 18-20		
1-13, 10-20		
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. Patent No. 6,662,173		
Part II.		Y
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN The instant application claims the same invention of U. S. U.S. obviousness type double patenting rejection. Applicant agrees	Patent No. 6,662,173 in a bro	oad scope, thus, subject to an
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate 	he examiner will provide a	written summary of the substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)